

RFP Evaluation Committee Meeting - June 10, 2024
 Presentations, Q & A and Final Scoring of Proposals for Purchase and Development of CRA Property on Sistrunk Blvd, NW 7 Terrace and NW 8 Avenue
 Proposals Received March 25, 2024

RFP Evaluation Criteria																	
1 Is in the best Interest of the CRA and in furtherance of the purposes of the CRA Plan and Vison Statement for the area, provides community benefit, economic Impact and is most responsive to the requirements of the RFP.				2 The Developer demonstrates the financial capacity, development experience, qualifications, and ability best suited to carry out the proposal.				3 The Conceptual Project Plans demonstrate sustainability, superior design, construction and features.				4 The proposed project does not require significant CRA financial resources, as reflected in the Developers purchase offer made for the Property and CRA development incentives requested by the Developer				Total Points	
Proposing Firm																	
Sistrunk View, LLC/Housing Trust Group																	
Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal
Rater # 1 Rhoda Glasco-Foderingham	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25		1.5
Rater # 2 Corey Ritchie	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25		1
Rater #3 Avis Wilkinson	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	1	0.25		1
																3.5	

RFP Evaluation Criteria																	
1 Is in the best Interest of the CRA and in furtherance of the purposes of the CRA Plan and Vison Statement for the area, provides community benefit, economic Impact and is most responsive to the requirements of the RFP.				2 The Developer demonstrates the financial capacity, development experience, qualifications, and ability best suited to carry out the proposal.				3 The Conceptual Project Plans demonstrate sustainability, superior design, construction and features.				4 The proposed project does not require significant CRA financial resources, as reflected in the Developers purchase offer made for the Property and CRA development incentives requested by the Developer				Total Points	
Proposing Firm																	
Smith and Henzy Affordable Group																	
Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal
Rater # 1 Rhoda Glasco-Foderingham	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75		3
Rater # 2 Corey Ritchie	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75		3
Rater #3 Avis Wilkinson	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75	Wt .25	3	0.75		3
																9	

RFP Evaluation Criteria																	
1 Is in the best Interest of the CRA and in furtherance of the purposes of the CRA Plan and Vison Statement for the area, provides community benefit, economic Impact and is most responsive to the requirements of the RFP.				2 The Developer demonstrates the financial capacity, development experience, qualifications, and ability best suited to carry out the proposal.				3 The Conceptual Project Plans demonstrate sustainability, superior design, construction and features.				4 The proposed project does not require significant CRA financial resources, as reflected in the Developers purchase offer made for the Property and CRA development incentives requested by the Developer				Total Points	
Proposing Firm																	
The Allen at Sistrunk LLC c/o Urban Pointe Developers, LLC																	
Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal
Rater # 1 Rhoda Glasco-Foderingham	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	1	0.25	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5		1.5
Rater # 2 Corey Ritchie	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5		2
Rater #3 Avis Wilkinson	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5	Wt .25	2	0.5		2
																5.5	

Summary Analysis	Total Points	Ranking
Proposing Firm Sistrunk View LLC/Housing Trust Group	3.5	1
Proposing Firm Smith and Henzy Affordable Group	9	3
Proposing Firm The Allen at Sistrunk LLC c/o Urban Pointe Developers, LLC	5.5	2